



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,422	11/08/2001	Aulis Perala	111075	5258
25944 75	590 07/23/2002			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19928			OCAMPO, MARIANNE S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			OCAMPO, W	ARIANNE 3
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2002	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2.14	
Appli	
091	
Office Action Summary Ma. Applicants Ma. Applicants	
Office Action Summary Ma. Applicants Applicants Applicants PERALA, AULIS PERALA, AULIS Online Address	
Office Action 34 Ma. Ma. Apr. PERALA, A. PERALA, A. PERALA, A. The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on are of this communication app ars on are of the peral of this communication app ars on app ars on a peral of this communication app are of this communication approach and the peral of this communication approach app	
- The MAILING DATE OF THE	
period for Rep.	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO Lot Unit. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO Lot Unit. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO Lot Unit. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO Lot Unit. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO Lot Unit. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence SIX (6) Mc Ab. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence SIX (6) Mc Ab. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence SIX (6) Mc Ab. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence SIX (6) Mc Ab. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence SIX (6) Mc Ab. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence address THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence address Extensions of time adjustment. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence address Extensions of time adjustment. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence address Extensions of time adjustment. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence address Extensions of time adjustment. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howeve 3 pondence	
Extensions of time in the first state of the state o	
If NO period for reply within the set or extension than three months.	
adjustifier	
Failure to reply within the set of the Office later than time Set of the O	
1) Responsive This action is FINAL. This action is in condition for allowance except the quayle, 1935 C.D. This action is in condition for allowance except the quayle, 1935 C.D.	
areas this approvement with the provention	
Closed in assignment of claims Disposition of Claims is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
3) Since the accordance was closed in accordan	
4a) Of the in/are allowed.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s)is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s)is/are objected to. is/are objected to requirement.	
6) Claim(5) is/are objected to a striction and/or election	
7) Claim(s) are subject to re-	
7) Claim(s) are subject to restrict. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. 11) The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	\
9) The specification is/are: a) i	
10) The drawing of the that any objects is: a) approved that any objects is: a) approved. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on fapproved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 11) If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 13) If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
angsed drawing of a required in reply to	
If approved, corrected the declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
11) The proposed drawings are required in teps? If approved, corrected drawings are required to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 33 Acknowledgment is made of a claim to 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim to 14) Acknowledgment is	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received	n)
Certified copies of the priority upon (PCT Rule 17.2Certified copies of the priority upon (PCT Rule 17.2Certified copies not received.	
* See the attached detailed * see the attached detailed of a claim for domestic provisional application has been 120 and/or 1211	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been recent 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 3. Copies of the certified copies not received. 4. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4. Copies of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 4. Copies of Information application (PTO-152) 4. Copies of Information application application (PTO-152) 4. Copies of Information application application application application (PTO-152) 4. Copies of Information application applica	
4) La sing of Information	
5) — (Note: 5) other: 5 dof Paper	Ио
Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Office Action Summary	

Application/Control Number: 09/986,422

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a. Claim 1 recites the limitations "the underside of the filter cloth" in line 6 and "the filtering element" in lines 10 11 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- b). Claim 4 also recites the limitation "the weft" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- c). Claim 6 recites the limitation "the upper surface of the filter cloth" in line 2 of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- d). Claim 7 recites the limitation "the surface of the filtering element" in the last two line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Does claim 7 claim a filtering module which includes (the combination of) the filtering element and the filter cloth, or just that of the filter cloth? For examination purposes, the examiner has considered that

Application/Control Number: 09/986,422 Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

the filtering module include only that of the filter cloth, and that the filter cloth/module is

capable of used with a filtering element.

e). Claim 8 recites the limitations "a final filtering module" and "the structure of the

filtering module". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Furthermore, the claim is indefinite and unclear as to what structural feature or element is being

referred to as the final filtering module. It is also indefinite because it is not clear what type of

"structure of the filtering module" is being defined or referred to in the last line of this claim.

f). Claim 9 recites the limitation "the channels lead the filtered liquid to openings in the

filter element". Is the filtering element considered part of this "final filtering module"? Or does

the final filtering module only includes that of the filter cloth? Since claim 9 depends from claim

7, and claim 7 has not claimed the filtering element as part of the filtering module, it is unclear as

to what invention is being claimed by claim 9? Is it merely adding an additional feature of the

channels or is it claiming a "final filtering module comprising a filtering element and the filter

module made of the filter cloth with channels"?

g). Claim 10 depends from claim 7 and therefore, it suffers the same defects since it

depends therefrom.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by Brushafer et al. (US 5,843,542).
- 5. Concerning claim 1, Brushafer et al. disclose a filter cloth (10) composed of a plurality of yarns in the transverse and the longitudinal directions, the filter cloth comprising a filtering portion having a structure and density according to desired filtering characteristics for separating liquid (fluid) from a mixture consisting of fluids/liquid and solids (fibrous particulates/lost circulation material), and which the filter cloth is capable of being arranged against a filtering element in a filtering apparatus, and an underside of the filter cloth comprising substantially parallel yarns that are thicker than the rest of the yarns of the cloth and the thicker yarns form parallel channels therebetween, as in fig. 3.
- 6. Regarding claim 3, Brushafer et al. further disclose the diameter difference between the other yarns (14b) and the thicker yarns (14a) of the filter cloth (10), being at least 1:1.4 or more, particularly 1:2.7, as in col. 5.
- 7. With regards to claim 4, Brushafer et al. also disclose the thicker yarns (in another embodiment, yarns 40) have the same direction as the west yarns (42), as in figs. 4 4a.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,422 Page 5

Art Unit: 1723

8. With respect to claim 5, Brushafer et al. disclose at least some of the yarns used in the filter cloth (10) being heat-shrinkable, as in cols. 2-7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 7 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krasnow (US 4,019,987) in view of Brushafer et al. (542).
- 11. Concerning claim 7, Krasnow discloses a filtering module (16) to be arranged on a filtering element (10) as a filtering surface when liquid is separated from a mixture consisting of solids and liquid by means of a filtering apparatus, which filtering module is made of a filter cloth (16) composed of yarns in the transverse and longitudinal directions and having an underside (surface against the filtering element 10) whereby liquid filtered by the cloth (16) is

Art Unit: 1723

allowed to flow in the direction of an upper surface of the filtering element (10). Krasnow fails to disclose the underside of the filter cloth comprising substantially parallel yarns that are thicker than other yarns of the cloth and channels formed between the thicker yarns. Brushafer et al. teach a similar woven fabric screen (10) capable of use as a filter cloth/module, comprising yarns (40, 42) in the transverse and longitudinal directions and having an underside comprising substantially parallel yarns (40) that are thicker than other yarns (42) of the cloth and channels (spaces) formed between the thicker yarns, as in figs. 4 - 4a. It is considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the filter cloth of Krasnow by substituting it with the filter cloth/woven fabric screen taught by Brushafer et al., in order to provide an improved filter cloth which is economical to manufacture and provide increased flexibility and comformability thereof (cols. 1 - 2). The increased flexibility and comformability of the woven screen/filter cloth of Brushafer et al. would allow the filter cloth to be shaped and formed into any desirable configuration required in use thereof in filtering applications, without worrying about undue stress or stretch damages which conventional woven wire screens used by Krasnow have problems with.

12. With respect to claim 8, Krasnow discloses the filter cloth (16) being arranged such that the channels in the bottom of the cloth are directed according to the structure of the filtering module, as in figs. 1 and 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,422

Art Unit: 1723

13. Regarding claim 9, Krasnow discloses the filter cloth (16) being arranged such that

the channels in the bottom of the cloth are directed such that the channels lead the filtered liquid

to openings in the filtering element (10), as in figs. 1 and 3.

14. With regards to claim 10, Krasnow fails to disclose the yarns being heat-shrinkable

yarns, allowing the filtering module to be stretched over the filtering element by thermal

treatment. Brushafer et al. further teach the yarns (40, 42) forming the filtering module/ filter

cloth (10) being heat-shrinkable yarns, as in cols. 2-7, and thereby capable of allowing the

filtering module to be stretched over the filtering element by thermal treatment. The same

motivation used in claim 7, is being applied here.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 2 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

16. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

none of the prior art has disclosed or rendered obvious a filter cloth having the limitations of the

thicker yarns having multifilament yarns moulded thereat, thereby forming a denser cloth at

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/986,422

Art Unit: 1723

thicker yarns, as in claim 2 and the module further comprising a batt needled to the filtering

portion of an upper surface of the filter cloth to obtain a denser structure, as in claim 6.

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marianne S. Ocampo whose telephone number is (703) 305-

1039. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8:00 A.M. to 4:30

P.M..

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda Walker can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

19. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

M.S.O.

July 17, 2002

HEW O. SAVAGE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8